SOFER & HAROUN, LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY



317 Madison Avenue, Suite 910 New York, NY 10017 Tel: (212) 697-2800 Fax: (212) 697-3004

Facsimile Coversheet

To:

ATTN: MR. PAUL SHANOSKI

Date: July 5, 2006

Office of Petitions

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Fax:

571 273 8300

Page 16, incl. this cover sh.

From: Valentina Papraniku

Paralegal

Re:

Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application

Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)

In re Application: Nichols et al.; Filed: November 29, 2000

For: CUTTING DEVICE

Confidentiality Statement This transmission may contain information which is legally privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Its contents are confidential and intended for the addresses only, and must not be used, copied or disseminated by any person other than the addressee. The recipient is requested to notify the sender immediately of any error in transmission and to destroy any transmission not intended for the recipient.

JUL 0 5 2006

PTO/SB/97 (09-04)
Approved for UBB through 07/31/2008, OMB 0951-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Treatment Office, U.S. DEFAR TWENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application under 37-CFR 1.137(b) \sim 3 exhibits

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.8. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.8 minutes to complete, including gathering, propering, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PEGEIVED GENTRAL PAX GENTER JUL 0 5 2006

Attorney Docket No. 688-098

IN THE UNI	TED STATES PATENT AND	TRADEMARK OFFICE	
	ر	X	
In re Applica	ntion of		
Nichols et al.		Group Art Unit:	3724
Serial No.:	09/727,364		
Filed:	November 29, 2000		
For:	CUTTING DEVICE	X	
7=9= 5=44,4 6 63 6	8 8 8 7 7 7 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		

PETITION TO REVIVE UNINTENTIALLY ABANDONED APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

Via Facsimile (571) 273-8300

ATTN: PAUL SHANOSKI

Petitions |

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 07/06/2006 NNGUYEN1 00000082 192825 09727364

01 FC:2453

750.00 DA

SIR:

In connection with the above identified application, we received a Decision on Petition dated June 28, 2006, denying a Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment that was originally filed in August of 2003. The abandonment occurred on January 4, 2002 for failure to respond to the Office Action (Restriction Requirement) dated December 3, 2001. A copy of the Notice is attached as Exhibit A.

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b), Applicants hereby submit this Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application to revive this application and continue prosecution on the merits.

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b)(1) the entire required reply is submitted herewith. To this end, enclosed is the required Petition For One Month Extension of Time so that

Application Serial No. 09/727,364 Petition Filed July 5, 2006

the originally filed response from January 16, 2002 may be considered. The Petition is attached as Exhibit B, with the fee to be taken from the deposit account noted on the Extension. A copy of the original January 16, 2002 Amendment in response to the Office Action of December 3, 2001, is attached as Exhibit C for the convenience of the patent Office.

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b)(2), the U.S. Patent and trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge the required fee of \$750.00 under 37 CFR 1.17(m), along with any other additional charges to deposit account number 19-2825, order number 688-098.

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3), Applicants hereby assert that the entire delay in filing the attached Petition for Extension of Time and accompanying fee, up to the filing of this grantable petition was unintentional. At all times, the Applicants wished to continue prosecution on this application.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that this Petition is in grantable order and respectfully request that the enclosed Petition for Extension of Time be entered and the accompanying January 16, 2002 Amendment be treated on its merits accordingly. If the Petitions officer reviewing this application requires any additional information they are invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Date: July 5, 2006

By: Robert Har

Reg. No 34,345

Sofer & Haroun L.L.P. 317 Madison Ave, Suite 910 New York, New York 10017

(212) 697-2800

Facsimile (212) 697-3004

EXHIBIT A



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.D. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.usptd.gov

Paper No. None

Sofer & Haroun LLP 317 Madison Ave. Ste. 910 New York NY 10017

212-697-3004

COPY MAILED

JUN 282006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of : Travis Nichols et al. : Application No. 09/727,364 : Filed: November 29, 2000 : Attorney Docket Number: 688-098 : Title: CUTTING DEVICE :

DECISION ON RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. \$1.181(A)

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed December 8, 2004, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a)¹, to revive the above-identified application.

The Office regrets the delay in issuing this decision.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a proper response to the Restriction Requirement, mailed December 3, 2001, which set a shortened statutory period to reply for one month. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a) were received. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on January 4, 2002. A Notice of abandonment was mailed on March 18, 2003.

¹ A grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;

⁽²⁾ The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;

⁽⁴⁾ Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in \$ 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

Page 2

Application No. 09/727,364
Decision on Petition under 37 C.F.R. \$1.181(a)

·

The original petition was submitted on August 4, 2003, and was dismissed via the mailing of a decision on September 3, 2003.

With the present petition, Petitioner has asserted that a response was filed on January 16, 2002. Petitioner has further included a copy of a postcard receipt, which evinces that a "response to election requirement," "replacement pages," a "certificate of mailing," and a "return postcard" were received in the Office on February 8, 2002. As such, it is clear that a response was received, and subsequently misplaced in the Office.

The present petition cannot be granted for the following two reasons.

First, Petitioner will note that the Restriction Requirement was mailed on December 3, 2001, and set a shortened statutory period to reply for one month. As such, a reply was due no later than January 3, 2002. Petitioner has indicated that a response was not submitted until January 16, 2002 - as such, a one-month extension of time was required in order to make this response timely. As a petition for an extension of time does not appear on the postcard, it does not appear that one was submitted in conjunction with this response to the restriction requirement, and as such, the holding of abandonment was proper. Since Petitioner has failed to provide a copy of this response with either the original or this renewed petition, the Office has no way of determining whether the response contained a request for an extension of time.

Secondly, Petitioner was aware that the Office has no record of the receipt of his response to the restriction requirement — yet it does not appear that a copy of the same has been provided with this petition. As such, assuming arguendo that the response had been timely filed, there would be nothing for the Examiner to consider, as there is no response in the electronic file.

As such, the renewed petition must be DISMISSED.

Any reply must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 C.F.R. \$1.136(a) are permitted. The reply should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.181(a)." This is not a final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C 704

Petitioner may wish to consider the submission of a petition under 37 C.F.R. \$\$1.137(a) and/or (b).

Application No. 09/727,364
Decision on Petition under 37 C.F.R. \$1.181(a)

Page 3

The renewed petition should indicate in a prominent manner that the attorney handling this matter is Paul Shanoski, and may be submitted by mail², hand-delivery³, or facsimile⁴.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225. All other inquiries concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Paul Shanoski

Senior Attorney

Office of Petitions

United States Patent and Trademark Office

² Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA, 22313-1450.

³ Customer Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulaney Street, Alexandria, VA, 22314.

^{4 (571) 273-8300-} please note this is a central facsimile number.

EXHIBIT B

Group Art Unit: 3724

PATENT

Docket No: 688-098

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

REGEIVED GENTRAL PAX GENTER

JUL 0 5 2006

Applicant(s)

; Nichols et al.

Scrial No. Filed : 09/727,364

: November 29, 2000

For

: CUTTING DEVICE

PETITION AND FEE FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (37 C.F.R. §1.136(a))

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

- 1. This is a petition for an extension of time for filing the Amendment originally submitted on January 16, 2002, and accompanying the presently filed Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application.
- 2. The communication in connection with the matter for which this extension is requested

is filed herewith.

[X] was filed on January 16, 2002, a copy of which is attached to the presently filed Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application.

3. [] Applicant is a small-entity -- verified statement is attached [], or has already been filed. [].

4.		Total Months	Fee for Other	Fcc for
		Requested	than Small Entity	Small Entity
a.	ſxÌ	one month	\$120.00	\$60.00
ъ. Ъ.	[7]	two months	\$450.00	\$225.00
	[]		\$1020.00	\$510.00
	L J F 1		\$1590.00	\$795.00
	L J T 1		• • •	\$1,080.00
c. d. e.	[]	three months four months five months	\$1590.00 \$1590.00 \$2,160.00	\$795

f. [] An extension for ____ months has already been secured for filing the above-identified communication and the fee paid therefor of \$ _____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested. The fee for this extension (\$ _____), minus the fee previously paid (\$ _____) equals \$ ____ (total fee due).

5. [] A check in the amount of ______ to cover the extension fee is attached.

6. [X] Charge \$60.00 to Deposit Account No. 19-2825 Order No. 688-098.

7. [X] The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required by this paper, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-2825. Order No. 688-098.

Respectful shouldted, SOFER & HARDUN, L.L.I

Dated: July 5, 2006

Registration No. <u>34,345</u>

Mailing Address: 317 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10017 (212) 697-2800; Fax (212) 697-3004 212-697-3004



Attorney Docket: 688-098

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Nichols, et al.

Group Art Unit: 3724

Serial No.:

09/727,364

Examiner: Douglas D. Watts

Filed:

November 29, 2000

For:

CUTTING DEVICE

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

RESPONSE TO ELECTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated December 3, 2001, in connection with the above-referenced application, please enter the following remarks. Replacement pages are attached hereto illustrating the unmarked claims. A petition for a one month extension of time is enclosed.

Remarks

Response to 35 U.S.C. § 121 Election Requirement

In the Office Action dated December 3, 2001, the Examiner subjected claims 1-11 to a restriction or election requirement.

The Examiner divided the claims into two Species as follows: Species I consists of Figures 1-4 corresponding to claims 1-11 and Species II consists of Figures 5-9 corresponding to none of the pending claims. The Examiner has noted that claim 1 is generic to both species. In response to the Examiner's requirement for an election, Applicants provisionally elect to

prosecute Species I, namely the species related to Figures 1-4 and the claims readable thereon, namely claims 1-11. Species II relating to Figures 5-9, which has no currently pending claims readable thereon, will be addressed in a continuation application to be filed prior to the issue of this application.

An early favorable action on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

SOFER & HAROUN, L.L.P.

y Waraun

Reg. No. 34,345 342 Madison Avenue

Suite 1921

New York, NY

(212) 697-2800

Dated: //16/02

REGEIVED CENTRAL FAX GENTER JUL 0 5 2006

Attorney Docket No.: 688-098

IN THE UNTIED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Nichols et al.

Examiner: Douglas D. Watts

Serial No:

09/727,364

Art unit: 3724

Filed:

November 29, 2000

For:

CUTTING DEVICE

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Honorable Assistant Commissioner of Patents Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sirs:

AMENDMENT REPLACEMENT PAGES

Applicants submit these replacement pages to accompany the amendment attached hereto in response to the Office Action dated December 3, 2001.

Replacement pages

What is claimed is:

- 1. A cutting device for use with a template to cut a media, said cutting device comprising:
 - a handle having a first end and a second attachment end;
- a positioning stem having a mounting stem and a ring portion, said mounting stem positioned on said second attachment end so that said ring portion is spaced a distance from said second attachment end and defines a template notch;
- a cutting means rotatably positioned within said ring portion for cutting said media, so that when said template notch of said cutting device is inserted into said template, a shape defining edge of said template is received in said template notch.
- 2. A cutting device as claimed in claim 1, wherein said second attachment end of said handle further comprises a handle recess, said handle recess being used to receive said mounting stem of said positioning stem.
- 3. A cutting device as claimed in claim 1, wherein said ring portion of said positioning stem is a ball bearing.
 - 4. A cutting device as claimed in claim 1, wherein said cutting means is a knife.
 - 5. A cutting device as claimed in claim 4, wherein said knife has an angled blade

Replacement pages

to facilitate the alignment of the knife along said shape defining edge of said template during operation.

- 6. A cutting device as claimed in claim 1, wherein said cutting means is removably attached to said ring portion of said mounting stem.
 - 7. A cutting device as claimed in claim 1, wherein said handle is cylindrical.
- 8. A cutting device as claimed in claim 1, wherein said handle is constructed of a rigid transparent material such as Lucite.
- 9. A cutting device as claimed in claim 1, further comprising a cap for positioning over said second attachment end of said handle so that said positioning stem and said cutting means are protected.
- 10. A cutting device as claimed in claim 9, further comprising a blade notch for use in removing said cutting means from said ring positioning of said positioning stem.
- 11. A cutting device as claimed in claim 1, further comprising removable stand for positioning over said first end of said handle so as to facilitate storage when said cutting device is not in use.